

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,276	11/20/2003	William L. Grilliot	MOR3334P205US	9980	
32116 75	90 08/12/2005		EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			SINGH,	SINGH, ARTI R	
500 W. MADIS	ON STREET		<u> </u>		
SUITE 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		1771		

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/718,276	GRILLIOT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ms. Arti Singh	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a proper of the provision of the p	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> •					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🖾 T	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's response dated 05/16/05. The Terminal Disclaimer and amendments have been entered. Said amendments and Terminal Disclaimer remedy the rejections/objections made in paragraphs 1, 3-9 of the previous office action. The objection of paragraph 2 is maintained, as it was not addressed by Applicant. Please amend the subtitle on page 2 to state "Detailed Description of The Drawings". Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 4453271 issued to Donzis in view of USPN 5274849 issued to Grilliot et al.

USPN 4453271 issued to Donzis discloses the protective garments including shoulder pads made from superimposed plies of lightweight non-elastic fluid impervious fabric material (abstract). USPN 5274849 disclose an outer flame resistant fabric layers bonded to an intermediate moisture barrier film. A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have employed the flame resistant fabric /film laminate of USPN 5274849 as the superimposed plies of USPN 4453271. One would have been motivated to use a fire resistant/fluid impervious film as the layers of choice in the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,276 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

composite of USPN 4453271 because it would be useful in a penetration resistant or ballistic garment so that it does not catch fire.

With regard to claim 5, the instant patent shows that it can be filled with fluid or air (gas). It does not explicitly teach the use of filling it with a gel. It is the position of the Examiner that one versed it in the art of inflatable devices would have employed a gel instead of fluid or a gas as the medium of choice. One would have been motivated in doing so, in order to provide a more cushioning effect yet still effective device, because is by chance the inflatable device ruptures the gel will still be in the device, whereas a gas or fluid will have escaped out.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ms. Ar<mark>ti Sing</mark>h Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771